ARP Arts Recovery Grant
Evaluation Rubric

Application Evaluation

ARP Arts Recovery Grants will be reviewed by a statewide panel of arts professionals who are qualified to evaluate and review. Panelists will review and score each application for the following:

- Artistic Excellence

- Programming and Service to the Community

- Effective Management

- Demonstrated Need for Support

- Competitive Priority (IDEA)

Artistic Excellence

Please provide a numerical score for **Artistic Excellence** based on the work samples provided. Numerical scores will range from 1 to 10, with 10 being the highest.

- **Strong Evidence (8 to 10 pts) -** There is clear evidence of the artistic excellence of the organization demonstrated through professional work samples.
- **Some Evidence (4 to 7 pts) -** There is some evidence of the artistic excellence of the organization demonstrated through semi-professional work samples.
- **Little or No Evidence (0 to 3 pts) -** There is minimal or no evidence of the artistic excellence of the organization demonstrated through the submitted work samples.

Programming and Service to the Community

Please provide a numerical score for **Programming and Service** to the community based on the narrative provided. Numerical scores will range from 1 to 10, with 10 being the highest.

- **Strong Evidence (8 to 10 pts) -** There is clear evidence of the organization's programming and service to the community provided in the narrative.
- **Some Evidence (4 to 7 pts) -** There is some evidence of the organizations' programming and service to the community provided in the narrative.
- **Little or No Evidence (0 to 3 pts) -** There is minimal or no evidence of the organization's programming and service to the community provided in the narrative.

Effective Management

Please provide a numerical score for organization's **Effective Management** provided in the narratives. Numerical scores will range from 1 to 10, with 10 being the highest.

- **Strong Evidence (8 to 10 pts) -** There is clear evidence of the organization's effective management as provided in the narrative.
- **Some Evidence (4 to 7 pts) -** There is some evidence of the organizations' effective management as provided in the narrative.
- **Little or No Evidence (0 to 3 pts) -** There is minimal or no evidence of the organization's effective management as provided in the narrative.

Demonstrated Need

Please provide a numerical score for organization's **Demonstrated Need** as evidenced in the type of support requested. Numerical scores will range from 1 to 10 with 10 being the highest.

- **Strong Evidence (8 to 10 pts) -** There is clear evidence of the organization's demonstrated need provided in their response to the type of support requested.
- **Some Evidence (4 to 7 pts) -** There is some evidence of the organizations' demonstrated need provided in their response to the type of support requested.
- **Little or No Evidence (0 to 3 pts) -** There is minimal or no evidence of the organizations demonstrated need in their response to the type of support requested.

Competitive Priority (IDEA)

Please provide a score for up to 10 additional points for distinct or compelling efforts to address IDEA (Inclusion, Diversity, Equity, and Access). Numerical scores will range from 1 to 10, with 10 being the highest. If there is a *Conflict of Interest* please select **N/A** for the score.

- **Strong Evidence (8 to 10 pts) -** There is distinct or compelling evidence of the organization's effort to implement IDEA in the narrative response.
- **Some Evidence (4 to 7 pts) -** There is some distinct or compelling evidence of the organizations' effort to implement IDEA in the narrative response.
- **Little or No Evidence (0 to 3 pts) -** There is minimal or no evidence of the organization's effort to implement IDEA in the narrative response.